



American Society of Hematology 2021 L Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036

Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776

Outcomes of Patients with Suspected Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia in a Contemporary Multicenter Cohort

Tracking no: ADV-2025-016639R1

Henning Nilius (Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Switzerland)
Ekaterina Sinitsa (Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Switzerland)
Dimitrios Tsakiris (University Hospital Basel, Switzerland) Andreas Greinacher (University Medicine
Greifswald, Germany) Adriana Mendez (County Hospital Aarau, Switzerland) Adrian Schmidt (Municipal
Hospital Zurich Triemli, Switzerland) Walter Wuillemin (Division of Hematology and Laboratory of
Hematology, Switzerland) Bernhard Gerber (Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Switzerland) Prakash Vishnu
(Fred Hutchinson/University of Washington Cancer Consortium, United States) Lukas Graf (Centre for
Laboratory Medicine St. Gallen, Switzerland) Johanna Kremer Hovinga (Department of Hematology and
Central Hematology Laboratory, Switzerland) Tamam Bakchoul (Institute for Clinical and Experimental
Transfusion Medicine, Medical Faculty of Tuebingen, University Hospital of Tuebingen, Germany)
Michael Nagler (Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern, Switzerland)

Abstract:

Managing patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) poses significant clinical challenges. Limited evidence exists on how management decisions impact clinical outcomes, leading to treatment recommendations based on low-certainty evidence. This study aimed to evaluate the treatment strategies and clinical outcomes of patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) in a contemporary multicenter cohort. We conducted a prospective, multicenter cohort study including consecutive patients with suspected HIT from 11 centers. Patients were stratified into three groups: (a) HIT confirmed, (b) HIT-negative but heparin/PF4 antibody-positive, and (c) HIT-negative without antibodies. Clinical and laboratory data were systematically collected. HIT was diagnosed using the washed-platelet heparin-induced platelet activation (HIPA) test as the reference standard. Among 1,393 patients (46% female, median age 67), HIT was confirmed in 119 (8.5%). Most patients were in intensive care (37%) or had undergone cardiac surgery (32%). Argatroban was the predominant treatment (70%), and platelet recovery occurred in 77% of HIT patients. Among patients with HIT, subsequent venous thromboembolism occurred in 23%, arterial thromboembolism in 9%, major bleeding in 12.6%, and mortality in 18%, with no significant differences between anticoagulants. Treatment with argatroban, bivalirudin, or direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) significantly reduced arterial thromboembolism risk. Outcomes did not differ between HIT-negative patients with or without heparin/PF4 antibodies. HIT, as well as the mere suspicion of HIT, remains a serious condition with a high risk of adverse outcomes, including death. Our findings provide further evidence supporting the effectiveness of DOACs, argatroban, and bivalirudin in reducing arterial thromboembolism risk.

Conflict of interest: COI declared - see note

COI notes: The institution of JKH received grant support, consultancy fees, or honoraria from SNSF, Baxter/Takeda, Bayer, CSL-Behring, NovoNordisk, Octapharma, Roche, SOBI, Roche, Sanofi, FOPH, and Swiss Hemophilia Society, outside of the current work. MN received research grants and lecture fees from Viatris, outside of the current work. JDS received lecture and advisory board honoraria from Bayer, CSL Behring, Pfizer, Sanofi, Siemens Diagnostics, Sobi, Takeda (all unrelated to the current work). AG reports personal fees from Aspen, grants from Ergomed, grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Bayer Vital, grants from Rovi, grants from Sagent, personal fees from Chromatec, personal fees from Instrumentation Laboratory, grants and personal fees from Macopharma, grants from Portola, grants from Biokit, personal fees from Sanofi-Aventis, grants from Blau Farmaceutics, grants from Prosensa/Biomarin, grants and other from DRK-BSD NSTOB, grants from DRK-BSD Baden-Würtemberg/Hessen, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from GTH e.V., grants from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, grants from Robert-Koch-Institut, non-financial support from Veralox, personal fees from Dilaflor, non-financial support from Vakzine Projekt Management GmbH, grants from GIZ Else-Körner-Stiftung, non-financial support from AstraZeneca, non-financial support from Janssen Vaccines & Prevention B.V., personal fees from Takeda Pharma, personal fees from Falk Foundation e.V., grants from European Medicines Agency , personal fees from Mylan Germany, outside the submitted work; In addition, Dr. Greinacher has a patent Screening Methods for transfusion related acute lung injury (TRALI) with royalties paid to EP2321644, 18.05.2011 , and a patent Verfahren und Vorrichtung zur Herstellung von Universalplasma. licensed to DE 10 2020 212 609 B3 2022.04.07. TB reports grant support, consultancy fees, honoraria, or support for attending meetings from DFG, Stiftung Transfusionsmedizin und Immunhämatologie e.V, DRK Blutspendedienst, Deutsche Herzstiftung, Ministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst Baden Würtemberg, Gesellschaft für Thrombose- und Hämostaseforschung, Berufsverband Deutscher Internisten, CoaChrom Diagnostica GmbH, Robert Bosch GmbH, Ergomed, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Doctrina Med AG, Leo Pharma GmbH, Schöchl medical education GmbH, Mitsubishi Tanabe GmbH, Novo Nordisk GmbH, Swedish Orphan Biovitrium GmbH. All other authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: HN wrote the analysis plan, conducted the analysis, interpreted the findings, and contributed to the manuscript. ES contributed to the analysis, interpreted the findings, and wrote the manuscript. JDS, DAT, AG, AM, AS, WAW, BG, PV, LG, JAKH, and TB collected data and contributed to the interpretation. MN designed the study, wrote the protocol, conducted the study, contributed to analysis plan, interpreted the findings and wrote the manuscript. All authors critically read and approved the final manuscript.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: No;

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: The data sets are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Clinical trial registration information (if any):

Outcomes of Patients with Suspected Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia in a Contemporary Multicenter Cohort

- 4 Henning Nilius¹, Ekaterina Sinitsa¹, Jan-Dirk Studt², Dimitrios A. Tsakiris³,
- 5 Andreas Greinacher⁴, Adriana Mendez⁵, Adrian Schmidt⁶, Walter A. Wuillemin⁷,
- 6 Bernhard Gerber⁸, Prakash Vishnu⁹, Lukas Graf¹⁰, Johanna A. Kremer Hovinga¹¹,
- 7 Tamam Bakchoul¹², Michael Nagler* ^{1, 13}

8

- ¹ Department of Clinical Chemistry, Inselspital University Hospital Bern, Bern, CH
- 10 ² Division of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University and University Hospital Zurich,
- 11 Zurich, CH
- 12 ³ Diagnostic Haematology, Basel University Hospital, Basel, CH
- 13 ⁴ Institut für Transfusionsmedizin, Universitätsmedizin Greifswald, Greifswald, DE
- ⁵ Department of Laboratory Medicine, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, CH
- 15 ⁶ Institute of Laboratory Medicine and Clinic of Medical Oncology and Hematology, Municipal
- 16 Hospital Zurich Triemli, Zurich, CH
- 17 Division of Hematology and Central Hematology Laboratory, Cantonal Hospital of Lucerne
- and University of Bern, Lucerne, CH
- 19 ⁸ Clinic of Hematology, Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Bellinzona, CH
- ⁹ Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Division of Hematology, University of Washington, Seattle,
- 21 WA, US
- 22 ¹⁰ Cantonal Hospital of St Gallen, St Gallen, CH
- 23 ¹¹ Department of Hematology and Central Hematology Laboratory, Inselspital Bern University
- 24 Hospital, Bern, CH
- 25 ¹² Centre for Clinical Transfusion Medicine, University Hospital of Tübingen, Tübingen, DE
- 26 ¹³ University of Bern, Bern, CH

28 29 30

31

32

27

Keywords: heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; mortality; morbidity; thromboembolism; hemorrhage; cohort studies

Data sharing statement:

Deidentified individual participant data that underlie the reported results will be made available by the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- *Corresponding author:
- 36 Michael Nagler, MD, PhD, MSc
- 37 Department of Clinical Chemistry
- 38 Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, and University of Bern
- 39 3010 Bern
- 40 Switzerland
- 41 Email: Michael.nagler@insel.ch
- 42 Phone: +41 31 664 05 20

43 **SUMMARY**

14	Managing patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) poses
45	significant clinical challenges. Limited evidence exists on how management decisions
46	impact clinical outcomes, leading to treatment recommendations based on low-
17	certainty evidence. This study aimed to evaluate the treatment strategies and clinical
48	outcomes of patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) in a
19	contemporary multicenter cohort. We conducted a prospective, multicenter cohort
50	study including consecutive patients with suspected HIT from 11 centers. Patients
51	were stratified into three groups: (a) HIT confirmed, (b) HIT-negative but
52	heparin/PF4 antibody-positive, and (c) HIT-negative without antibodies. Clinical
53	and laboratory data were systematically collected. HIT was diagnosed using the
54	washed-platelet heparin-induced platelet activation (HIPA) test as the reference
55	standard. Among 1,393 patients (46% female, median age 67), HIT was confirmed in
56	119 (8.5%). Most patients were in intensive care (37%) or had undergone cardiac
57	surgery (32%). Argatroban was the predominant treatment (70%), and platelet
58	recovery occurred in 77% of HIT patients. Among patients with HIT, subsequent
59	venous thromboembolism occurred in 23%, arterial thromboembolism in 9%, major
50	bleeding in 12.6%, and mortality in 18%, with no significant differences between
51	anticoagulants. Treatment with argatroban, bivalirudin, or direct oral anticoagulants
52	(DOACs) significantly reduced arterial thromboembolism risk. Outcomes did not
53	differ between HIT-negative patients with or without heparin/PF4 antibodies. HIT,
64	as well as the mere suspicion of HIT, remains a serious condition with a high risk of
5 5	adverse outcomes, including death. Our findings provide further evidence supporting
66	the effectiveness of DOACs, argatroban, and bivalirudin in reducing arterial
67	thromboembolism risk.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

69

70

73

74

75

68

KEY POINTS:

- 1. HIT, as well as the mere suspicion of HIT, remains a serious condition with a high risk of adverse outcomes, including death.
 - 2. Further evidence supporting the effectiveness of DOACs, argatroban, and bivalirudin in reducing arterial thromboembolism risk

INTRODUCTION

- 76 Despite advancements in diagnostic tests and treatment options, managing patients
- 77 with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) remains a major clinical
- 78 challenge [1–5]. Many hospitalized patients continue to receive unfractionated
- 79 heparins (UFH) or low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWH), with an estimated 12
- 80 million individuals exposed annually in the United States alone [6]. A considerable
- 81 proportion develop thrombocytopenia, often accompanied by thromboembolism,
- raising suspicion of HIT [7,8]. In recent years, new clinical scenarios, such as
- 83 COVID-19 and vaccine-induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia (VITT), have
- emerged, increasing the complexity of HIT diagnosis and management [9–11].
- 85 Additionally, the growing use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in
- 86 critically ill patients has further heightened the risk of thrombocytopenia and HIT
- 87 [12]. In this setting, clinicians face a high-stakes decision—whether to discontinue
- 88 heparin, which itself carries thromboembolic risks, or to initiate an alternative
- 89 anticoagulant, increasing the risk of major bleeding [13,14].
- 90 Early treatment of suspected HIT aims to prevent serious thromboembolic
- omplications [3,6,15,16]. However, these complications may arise not only from HIT
- 92 itself but also from the underlying condition requiring heparin. Discontinuing
- 93 heparin in patients without HIT introduces its own thromboembolic risks, while
- 94 switching to alternative anticoagulants increases the likelihood of major bleeding
- 95 [14]. Patients with suspected HIT are particularly vulnerable due to prior
- ocardiopulmonary surgery, thrombocytopenia, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor therapy,
- and frequent postoperative complications [15,17]. Moreover, the benefits of many

98	treatment decisions remain uncertain, and current guidelines acknowledge that most
99	recommendations are based on low-certainty evidence [6,13].
100	In the absence of randomized controlled trials, understanding real-world clinical
101	outcomes is essential. Early studies reported high rates of thromboembolism and
102	mortality in HIT patients [16,18]; however, treatment approaches and patient
103	characteristics have evolved significantly. With new diagnostic tools, treatment
104	options, and changing patient populations, there is a need to reassess clinical
105	outcomes [19]. Additionally, many studies on alternative anticoagulants relied on
106	composite endpoints, limiting the ability to assess whether new thromboembolic
107	events could be effectively prevented [13,20,21]. Furthermore, the clinical outcomes
108	of patients with suspected HIT who test negative—either by heparin/PF4
109	immunoassay or functional assays—remain essentially unknown [6]. Data on
110	bleeding risks with non-heparin anticoagulants, particularly in patients without
111	definitive HIT, are also limited [14,20]. Moreover, despite increasing interest in
112	direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs), robust evidence on their efficacy and safety
113	remains scarce [6,12,13].
114	Many earlier studies have methodological limitations, including retrospective
115	designs with unrepresentative patient selection, small sample sizes, single-center
116	data collection, and inconsistent diagnostic criteria for HIT [14,19,22]. As a result,
117	their findings may not accurately reflect contemporary clinical practice. To address
118	these gaps, several researchers and scientific societies have called for prospective
119	studies that assess patient outcomes using standardized definitions and rigorous data
120	collection methods [6,13,14,19].
121	To address these knowledge gaps, we conducted a prospective, multicenter cohort
122	study to comprehensively assess the clinical outcomes of patients with suspected
123	HIT. Our study aimed to evaluate the risk of thromboembolism, major bleeding, and
124	mortality in confirmed HIT cases, as well as in patients without HIT, stratified by
125	heparin/PF4 antibody status. By applying strict and uniform criteria for HIT
126	diagnosis and ensuring complete and accurate data collection, we sought to generate
127	robust evidence to inform clinical decision-making.

METHODS

128

129

Study Design, Setting, and Patient Population

130	The TORADI-HIT study is a prospective, multicenter conort study that included
131	1,393 patients with suspected HIT from 11 centers in Switzerland, Germany, and the
132	United States (Figure 1) [23–26]. Patients were enrolled consecutively between
133	January 2018 and May 2021, but not all study centers were actively recruiting at all
134	time points. Inclusion criteria were: (a) suspected HIT, defined by at least one of the
135	following—heparin/PF4 immunoassay ordered, application of a clinical assessment
136	tool, or hematology consultation requested; (b) age >18 years; and (c) provision of
137	informed consent. Patients were excluded if sample material was missing or if
138	clinical data were insufficient.
139	Patients were recruited from a well-established study network encompassing
140	university and tertiary hospitals. Depending on the study center, either general
141	informed consent or individual study-specific consent was obtained. Ethical approval
142	was granted by the responsible committees (Kantonale Ethikkommission Bern,
143	#2017-01073), and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of
144	Helsinki.
145	Data Collection and Study Procedures
146	A standardized protocol for data collection was developed and approved by the ethics
147	committee. Specially trained study nurses collected clinical and laboratory data and
148	entered them into an electronic case report form (e-CRF) within the REDCap
149	database. The study workflow is outlined in Figure 1.
150	To ensure high-quality data collection, training sessions were conducted at each
151	study site. Data were retrieved from hospital information systems at two key time
152	points: (1) at the time of HIT suspicion and (2) at hospital discharge. Predefined data
153	collection forms were integrated into routine clinical workflows. Attending
154	physicians were contacted to resolve missing or inconsistent data. In cases requiring
155	further clarification, an expert committee, consisting of the local hematologist and
156	the center hematologist, reviewed the data.
157	Baseline data included demographic characteristics, clinical setting, laboratory
158	values, and HIT probability scores [23]. Follow-up data at discharge included
159	anticoagulation management (continuation, discontinuation, or switch to an
160	alternative anticoagulant), details of any alternative anticoagulant used, instances of
161	re-exposure to UFH, administration of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), platelet

count at discharge, platelet recovery status (no recovery, < 50% increase, > 50%
increase, or more than 100G/L), imaging-confirmed venous and arterial
thromboembolism, major and minor bleeding events, mortality, and length of
hospital stay. Major bleeding was defined according to the most widely accepted
definition of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH):
clinically overt bleeding associated with a hemoglobin drop of ≥ 2 g/dL, transfusion
of ≥ 2 units of red blood cells, bleeding in a critical site, or a fatal outcome [27].

170	Definition of HIT
171	Patients were classified as having HIT if they tested positive in the washed-platelet
172	heparin-induced platelet activation (HIPA) test. Washed platelet assays (i.e., HIPA
173	and serotonin release assay, SRA), demonstrated an adequate diagnostic sensitivity
174	and specificity [6,15,28–34]. Clinical studies demonstrated a high agreement with
175	clinical HIT [35,36] and HIPA and SRA are both regarded as reference gold standard
176	for the diagnosis of HIT by the ASH guidelines [6], the British Committee for
177	Standards in Haematology [34], and many authors [6,15,28–30,34,37]. The analytical
178	performance and all methodological details of the in-house HIPA assay were
179	validated in prior studies [31,32].
180	The HIPA test was performed using washed platelets from four different donors
181	under the following conditions: (a) with buffer, (b) with low-molecular-weight
182	heparin (0.2 IU/mL), and (c) with unfractionated heparin (100 IU/mL). A test was
183	considered positive if platelet aggregation occurred in at least two donors within 30
184	minutes in the presence of 0.2 IU/mL heparin but not in the presence of 100 IU/mL
185	heparin. Each test plate included both positive and negative controls.
186	Statistical Analysis
187	Patients were categorized into three groups: (1) HIT-confirmed, (2) HIT-negative but
188	heparin/PF4 antibody-positive, and (3) HIT-negative without antibodies. Patient
189	characteristics, treatment patterns, and clinical outcomes were summarized using
190	medians with interquartile ranges (IQR) for continuous variables and counts with
191	percentages for categorical variables.
192	For patients with confirmed HIT, we used multivariable logistic regression to assess
193	risk factors for adverse outcomes, including incomplete platelet recovery, major
194	bleeding, venous thromboembolism, arterial thromboembolism, and mortality.
195	Models were adjusted for sex, age, clinical setting, sepsis, chemotherapy, hemoglobin
196	concentration, white blood cell count, platelet nadir, heparin/PF4 antibody levels,
197	and anticoagulation regimen.
198	To evaluate differences in outcomes among HIT-negative patients with or without
199	heparin/PF4 antibodies, we conducted additional multivariable logistic regression
200	analyses, adjusting for the same covariates. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and a

201 p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using R version 4.3.1. 202 RESULTS 203 Baseline Characteristics of Patients with Suspected HIT 204 205 A total of 1,393 patients from 11 study centers were included in the analysis. The 206 median age was 67 years, and 46% of patients were female. Most patients were in intensive care units (37%) or had undergone cardiovascular surgery (32%). Other 207 clinical settings included internal medicine (20%), general surgery (10%), and major 208 209 trauma (1%). Sepsis was present in 49% of patients, and 7% had a confirmed SARS-CoV-2 210 infection. Unfractionated heparin was administered to 79% of patients, and low-211 molecular weight heparin to 43% of patients. The median 4Ts score was 3 (IQR: 2-212 5). The platelet nadir was lower in patients with confirmed HIT compared to those 213 214 without HIT (median: 52 ×109/L vs. 60 ×109/L). Heparin/PF4 immunoassay results and additional patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. 215 HIT was confirmed in 119 patients (8.5%) based on the HIPA test. Among HIT-216 positive patients, 33% were in intensive care and 40% had undergone cardiovascular 217 surgery. The prevalence of heparin/PF4 antibodies was higher in HIT-positive 218 patients than in HIT-negative patients (median CLIA value: 10.35 U/mL vs. 0.00 219 U/mL). Five patients with HIT (HIPA+) had a CLIA result below 1 U/l. Of these five 220 patients, one also had a negative HIT IgG ELISA result. 221 Treatment Strategies and Clinical Outcomes 222 223 Alternative anticoagulation was initiated in 299 patients (21.5%), with the majority receiving argatroban (56%), followed by fondaparinux (20%) and rivaroxaban (8%). 224 Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) was administered to 5% of patients. Among 225 patients with HIT, 94% received an alternative anticoagulant, whereas 9% of HIT-226 negative patients were also treated with non-heparin anticoagulants. 227 Complete platelet recovery was observed in 77% of patients with HIT but was 228 considerably lower in HIT-negative patients (Table 2). Subsequent venous 229 230 thromboembolism occurred in 23% of HIT-positive patients, while arterial

thromboembolism was observed in 9%. Major bleeding was reported in 12.6% of

232	HIT-positive patients and 12.9% of HIT-negative patients. The overall mortality rate
233	was 18% in HIT-positive patients and 21% in HIT-negative patients.
234	Notably, any non-heparin anticoagulant use was strongly associated with a lower risk
235	of subsequent arterial thromboembolism but did not significantly affect venous
236	thromboembolism rates. Among treatment strategies, fondaparinux (p = 0.01) and
237	argatroban ($p = 0.02$) were associated with an increased risk of major bleeding.
238	Risk Factors for Adverse Outcomes
239	We analyzed potential risk factors for major adverse outcomes, including incomplete
240	platelet recovery, subsequent venous and arterial thromboembolism, major bleeding,
241	and mortality in patients with HIT (Table 3). Most patient characteristics were not
242	significantly associated with these outcomes. However, male sex was linked to a
243	higher risk of venous thromboembolism ($p = 0.006$), and intensive care unit
244	admission or major trauma status was marginally associated with major bleeding (p
245	= 0.05 and p = 0.04 , respectively).
246	Clinical Outcomes in HIT-Negative Patients
247	We assessed risk factors for adverse outcomes in HIT-negative patients to determine
248	whether the presence of heparin/PF4 antibodies influenced clinical events (Table 4).
249	As expected, established risk factors in hospitalized patients—such as ICU admission,
250	sepsis, low hemoglobin, high white blood cell count, and chemotherapy—were
251	significantly associated with adverse outcomes.
252	However, heparin/PF4 antibody positivity had no significant impact on
253	thromboembolism, major bleeding, or mortality. These findings suggest that, among
254	HIT-negative patients, antibody presence alone does not influence clinical outcomes.
255	DISCUSSION
256	This prospective, multicenter cohort study systematically assessed the clinical
257	outcomes of patients with suspected HIT. Of the 1,393 patients included, 8.5% were
258	found to have HIT (prevalence). Regardless of whether the final diagnosis was HIT
259	or not, we observed high rates of subsequent thromboembolic complications, major

bleeding and death. In patients with HIT, treatment with argatroban, bivalirudin or

arterial thromboembolism. However, this was not the case with regard to venous

direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) was consistently associated with a reduced risk of

260

261

263	thromboembolism. Patients without H11—regardless of neparin/PF4 antibody
264	status—had similar clinical outcomes, suggesting that antibody positivity alone does
265	not confer an increased risk of adverse events.
266	Several earlier studies reported high thromboembolism and mortality rates in
267	patients with HIT, but their findings were largely based on retrospective data, single-
268	center cohorts, or outdated treatment practices [16,18,38]. Our study confirms that
269	HIT remains a serious condition with substantial risks, but it also reflects
270	contemporary clinical management, including the increasing use of DOACs.
271	Compared to historical cohorts, where thromboembolism rates often exceeded 50%,
272	our findings suggest a possible improvement in patient outcomes, potentially due to
273	more systematic HIT recognition and optimized anticoagulation strategies. We
274	observed a lower rate of complete platelet recovery (77%) than reported in some
275	prior studies [13], which may reflect differences in study design, patient populations,
276	or real-world treatment conditions. While previous research has suggested that
277	heparin/PF4 antibody positivity in HIT-negative patients could indicate an increased
278	thrombotic risk, our data do not support this, adding to the growing uncertainty
279	about the clinical significance of isolated antibody positivity.
280	A major strength of our study is its large sample size and prospective, multicenter
281	design, which minimizes selection bias and enhances generalizability. By
282	systematically applying the HIPA test as a reference standard for HIT diagnosis, we
283	ensured a uniform classification of cases. Additionally, our structured data collection
284	process, including predefined protocols and expert review of unclear cases, reduced
285	the risk of misclassification and missing data. The inclusion of a large, consecutive
286	patient cohort across different clinical settings further strengthens the applicability
287	of our findings.
288	However, some limitations must be acknowledged. Despite being one of the largest
289	prospective HIT studies to date, the sample size remains limited for certain subgroup
290	analyses, particularly when comparing different anticoagulants. In addition, we may
291	have missed HIT patients whose treating physician did not express any suspicion.
292	However, we believe that awareness is high in the study centers participating in the
293	TORADI-HIT study and that the risk of missing cases is therefore low. The relatively
294	low prevalence is confirmation of this. We also believe that the key findings of the
295	study would not be influenced by selection bias. As another limitation, three study

centers accounted for the majority of patients (Table 1 of the supplementary material). In such a constellation, distortions in the numerical results are possible in principle. However, we cannot envision how these could have influenced the key findings of the study. Besides, one might argue that despite the high degree of agreement between the two washed platelet tests SRA and HIPA, the good clinical data, and the recommendations of all major professional societies, it cannot be ruled out that SRA detects slightly more cases of HIT. We agree that it would change the numerical results somewhat. However, we cannot imagine how it would change the basic conclusions of the paper. Another finding of our study was that treatment with non-heparin anticoagulants was not associated with major bleeding. However, this contradicts previous studies and may be due to the specific study population at hand being at risk of major bleeding for various other reasons. Finally, our findings may not be fully generalizable to settings where HIT diagnostics or treatment strategies differ systematically from those used in our study centers. The question arises as to what these results mean for clinical practice, and for medical research. As this was not a randomized clinical trial that directly compared different treatments, nor did it have a large enough sample size in all subgroups, we cannot provide specific recommendations for salient clinical questions. However, it is one of the largest HIT cohorts, probably with the most rigorous methodology, so the results must be considered in the current state of knowledge. Firstly, patients with suspected HIT have a very high risk of complications and death, regardless of whether HIT is actually present. Thrombocytopenia and thromboembolism (presumable driver of suspicion) are manifestations and consequences of a wide variety of serious diseases, especially in critically ill patients. Secondly, non-heparin anticoagulants are consistently associated with a significantly reduced risk of arterial thromboembolism but not with venous thromboembolism. Although we cannot completely rule out spurious results due to the moderate number of cases, we see no statistical indication of them. Therefore, we tend to assume that this is a genuine phenomenon, which could be explained by the lower efficacy of non-heparin anticoagulants on venous thromboembolism, for example. Thirdly, we see no evidence in our cohorts that DOACs are less effective than intravenous anticoagulants, which further supports their use in clinical practice. And fifthly, our data provide no evidence that patients without HIT but with positive H/PF4 antibodies are at higher risk of complications than patients without. This could be

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306 307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

330	due to the more rigorous study design compared to previous studies and does not
331	support a specific treatment for these patients. As the next step in scientific inquiry,
332	we propose, if possible in this difficult population, to conduct an RCT comparing
333	argatroban, as the most established non-heparin anticoagulant, with rivaroxaban, as
334	the potentially safest and most simple drug.
335	In conclusion, our data indicate that despite advances in diagnosis and treatment,
336	HIT remains a serious condition with a high risk of complications. Interestingly, the
337	mere suspicion of HIT, presumably arising from thrombocytopenia and
338	thromboembolism, emerges risk factor for serious complications including death.
339	Besides, our findings provide further evidence supporting the effectiveness of non-
340	heparin anticoagulants, including DOACs, in reducing arterial thromboembolism.
341	DOACs are a promising therapeutic option, but further research is needed to refine
342	anticoagulation strategies and ensure both efficacy and safety.
343	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS/ FUNDING
344	This study was supported by a research grant from the Swiss National Science
345	Foundation (#215574). The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of
346	the study, the analysis and interpretation of data, or in the preparation, review, or
347	approval of the manuscript. All authors read, critically reviewed and approved the
348	final manuscript.
349	AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
350	HN wrote the analysis plan, conducted the analysis, interpreted the findings, and
351	contributed to the manuscript. ES contributed to the analysis, interpreted the
352	findings, and wrote the manuscript. JDS, DAT, AG, AM, AS, WAW, BG, PV, LG,
353	JAKH, and TB collected data and contributed to the interpretation. MN designed the
354	study, wrote the protocol, conducted the study, contributed to analysis plan,
355	interpreted the findings and wrote the manuscript. All authors critically read and
356	approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

357

358 The institution of JKH received grant support, consultancy fees, or honoraria from

359 SNSF, Baxter/Takeda, Bayer, CSL-Behring, NovoNordisk, Octapharma, Roche,

360 SOBI, Roche, Sanofi, FOPH, and Swiss Hemophilia Society, outside of the current

361	work. MN received research grants and lecture fees from Viatris, outside of the
362	current work. JDS received lecture and advisory board honoraria from Bayer, CSL
363	Behring, Pfizer, Sanofi, Siemens Diagnostics, Sobi, Takeda (all unrelated to the
364	current work). AG reports personal fees from Aspen, grants from Ergomed, grants
365	from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Bayer Vital, grants from Rovi, grants
366	from Sagent, personal fees from Chromatec, personal fees from Instrumentation
367	Laboratory, grants and personal fees from Macopharma, grants from Portola, grants
368	from Biokit, personal fees from Sanofi-Aventis, grants from Blau Farmaceutics,
369	grants from Prosensa/Biomarin, grants and other from DRK-BSD NSTOB, grants
370	from DRK-BSD Baden-Würtemberg/Hessen, personal fees from Roche, personal fees
371	from GTH e.V., grants from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, grants from Robert-
372	Koch-Institut, non-financial support from Veralox, personal fees from Dilaflor, non-
373	financial support from Vakzine Projekt Management GmbH, grants from GIZ Else-
374	Körner-Stiftung, non-financial support from AstraZeneca, non-financial support
375	from Janssen Vaccines & Prevention B.V., personal fees from Takeda Pharma,
376	personal fees from Falk Foundation e.V., grants from European Medicines Agency ,
377	personal fees from Mylan Germany, outside the submitted work; In addition, Dr.
378	Greinacher has a patent Screening Methods for transfusion related acute lung injury
379	(TRALI) with royalties paid to EP2321644, 18.05.2011 , and a patent Verfahren und
380	Vorrichtung zur Herstellung von Universalplasma. licensed to DE 10 2020 212 609
381	B3 2022.04.07. TB reports grant support, consultancy fees, honoraria, or support for
382	attending meetings from DFG, Stiftung Transfusionsmedizin und
383	Immunhämatologie e.V, DRK Blutspendedienst, Deutsche Herzstiftung, Ministerium
384	für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst Baden Würtemberg, Gesellschaft für
385	Thrombose- und Hämostaseforschung, Berufsverband Deutscher Internisten,
386	CoaChrom Diagnostica GmbH, Robert Bosch GmbH, Ergomed, Bayer, Bristol-Myers
387	Squibb, Doctrina Med AG, Leo Pharma GmbH, Schöchl medical education GmbH,
388	Mitsubishi Tanabe GmbH, Novo Nordisk GmbH, Swedish Orphan Biovitrium
389	GmbH. All other authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

REFERENCES

- 392 1 May J, Westbrook B, Cuker A. Heparin induced thrombocytopenia: An illustrated
- 393 review. Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis 2023; : 100283.
- Warkentin TE, Pai M. The Epidemiology of Thrombosis With Thrombocytopenia
- 395 Syndrome: Analogies With Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. *Ann Intern Med* 2022; **175**:
- 396 604–5.

- 397 3 Warkentin TE, Greinacher A. Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. In: Murphy MF,
- Roberts DJ, Yazer MH, Dunbar NM, editors. Practical Transfusion Medicine. 1st ed. Wiley;
- 399 2022. p. 187–205.
- 400 4 Marchetti M, Barelli S, Gleich T, Gomez FJ, Goodyer M, Grandoni F, Alberio L.
- 401 Managing argatroban in heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: A retrospective analysis of 729
- 402 treatment days in 32 patients with confirmed heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. Br J
- 403 *Haematol* 2022; **197**: 766–90.
- Koster A, Nagler M, Erdoes G, Levy JH. Heparin-induced Thrombocytopenia:
- 405 Perioperative Diagnosis and Management. *Anesthesiology* 2022; **136**: 336–44.
- 406 Cuker A, Arepally GM, Chong BH, Cines DB, Greinacher A, Gruel Y, Linkins LA,
- 407 Rodner SB, Selleng S, Warkentin TE, Wex A, Mustafa RA, Morgan RL, Santesso N.
- 408 American Society of Hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous
- 409 thromboembolism: heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood Advances* 2018; **2**: 3360–92.
- Lutsey PL, Zakai NA. Epidemiology and prevention of venous thromboembolism.
- 411 *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2023; **20**: 248–62.
- 412 8 Lobastov K, Urbanek T, Stepanov E, Lal B, Caprini J. The Thresholds of Caprini
- 413 Score Associated With Increased Risk of Venous Thromboembolism across Different
- 414 Specialties: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic*
- 415 *Disorders* 2023; **11**: 453.
- 416 9 Uzun G, Pelzl L, Singh A, Bakchoul T. Immune-Mediated Platelet Activation in
- 417 COVID-19 and Vaccine-Induced Immune Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia. Front Immunol
- 418 2022; **13**: 837629.
- Venier LM, Clerici B, Bissola A-L, Modi D, Jevtic SD, Radford M, Mahamad S,
- 420 Nazy I, Arnold DM. Unique features of vaccine-induced immune thrombotic
- 421 thrombocytopenia; a new anti-platelet factor 4 antibody-mediated disorder. *Int J Hematol*
- 422 2023; **117**: 341–8.
- 423 11 Thilagar B, Beidoun M, Rhoades R, Kaatz S. COVID-19 and thrombosis: searching
- 424 for evidence. *Hematology* 2021; **2021**: 621–7.
- 425 12 Pishko A, Cuker A. Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia in Cardiac Surgery Patients.
- 426 *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2017; **43**: 691–8.
- Nilius H, Kaufmann J, Cuker A, Nagler M. Comparative effectiveness and safety of
- 428 anticoagulants for the treatment of heparin induced thrombocytopenia. $American\ J\ Hematol$
- 429 2021; **96**: 805–15.
- 430 14 Pishko AM, Lefler DS, Gimotty P, Paydary K, Fardin S, Arepally GM, Crowther M,
- Rice L, Vega R, Cines DB, Guevara JP, Cuker A. The risk of major bleeding in patients with
- 432 suspected heparin induced thrombocytopenia. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis
- 433 2019: **17**: 1956–65.
- 434 15 Greinacher A. Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. Solomon CG, editor. N Engl J
- 435 *Med* 2015; **373**: 252–61.
- Wallis DE, Workman DL, Lewis BE, Steen L, Pifarre R, Moran JF. Failure of early
- 437 heparin cessation as treatment for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *The American Journal*
- 438 *of Medicine* 1999; **106**: 629–35.

- Cuker A, Cines DB. How I treat heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 2012; **119**:
- 440 2209-18.
- Lewis BE, Wallis DE, Leya F, Hursting MJ, Kelton JG. Argatroban Anticoagulation
- in Patients With Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. ARCH INTERN MED 2003; 163.
- 443 19 Gruel Y, Vayne C, Rollin J, Weber P, Faille D, Bauters A, Macchi L, Alhenc-Gelas
- 444 M, Lebreton A, De Maistre E, Voisin S, Gouilleux-Gruart V, Perrin J, Tardy-Poncet B,
- Elalamy I, Lavenu-Bombled C, Mouton C, Biron C, Ternisien C, Nedelec-Gac F, et al.
- 446 Comparative Analysis of a French Prospective Series of 144 Patients with Heparin-Induced
- Thrombocytopenia (FRIGTIH) and the Literature. *Thromb Haemost* 2020; **120**: 1096–107.
- 448 20 Kuter DJ, Konkle BA, Hamza TH, Uhl L, Assmann SF, Kiss JE, Kaufman RM, Kev
- NS, Sachais BS, Hess JR, Ness P, McCrae KR, Leissinger C, Strauss RG, McFarland JG,
- Neufeld E, Bussel JB, Ortel TL. Clinical outcomes in a cohort of patients with heparin-
- induced thrombocytopenia. *Am J Hematol* 2017; **92**: 730–8.
- 452 21 Greinacher A, Farner B, Kroll H, Kohlmann T, Warkentin TE, Eichler P. Clinical
- 453 features of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia including risk factors for thrombosis: A
- retrospective analysis of 408 patients. *Thromb Haemost* 2005; **94**: 132–5.
- Joseph L, Casanegra AI, Dhariwal M, Smith MA, Raju MG, Militello MA, Gomes
- 456 MP, Gornik HL, Bartholomew JR. Bivalirudin for the treatment of patients with confirmed or
- suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 2014;
- **458 12**: 1044–53.
- Nilius H, Cuker A, Haug S, Nakas C, Studt J-D, Tsakiris DA, Greinacher A, Mendez
- 460 A, Schmidt A, Wuillemin WA, Gerber B, Kremer Hovinga JA, Vishnu P, Graf L, Kashev A,
- 461 Sznitman R, Bakchoul T, Nagler M. A machine-learning model for reducing misdiagnosis in
- heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a prospective, multicenter, observational study.
- 463 *eClinicalMedicine* 2023; **55**: 101745.
- Hammerer-Lercher A, Nilius H, Studt J-D, Tsakiris DA, Greinacher A, Mendez A,
- Schmidt A, Wuillemin WA, Gerber B, Kremer Hovinga JA, Vishnu P, Graf L, Bakchoul T,
- Nagler M. Limited concordance of heparin/platelet factor 4 antibody assays for the diagnosis
- of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: an analysis of the TORADI-HIT study. *Journal of*
- 468 Thrombosis and Haemostasis 2023; : S1538783623004300.
- Larsen EL, Nilius H, Studt J-D, Tsakiris DA, Greinacher A, Mendez A, Schmidt A,
- Wuillemin WA, Gerber B, Vishnu P, Graf L, Kremer Hovinga JA, Goetze JP, Bakchoul T,
- Nagler M. Accuracy of Diagnosing Heparin-Induced Thrombocytopenia. *JAMA Netw Open*
- 472 2024; **7**: e243786.
- Nilius H, Hamzeh-Cognasse H, Hastings J, Studt J-D, Tsakiris DA, Greinacher A,
- 474 Mendez A, Schmidt A, Wuillemin WA, Gerber B, Vishnu P, Graf L, Kremer Hovinga JA,
- Bakchoul T, Cognasse F, Nagler M. Proteomic profiling for biomarker discovery in heparin-
- induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood Advances* 2024; **8**: 2825–34.
- 477 27 Schulman S, Kearon C. Definition of major bleeding in clinical investigations of
- 478 antihemostatic medicinal products in non-surgical patients. *Journal of Thrombosis and*
- 479 *Haemostasis* 2005; **3**: 692–4.
- 480 28 Minet V, Dogné J-M, Mullier F. Functional Assays in the Diagnosis of Heparin-
- 481 Induced Thrombocytopenia: A Review. *Molecules* 2017; **22**: 617.
- Nagler M, Bakchoul T. Clinical and laboratory tests for the diagnosis of heparin-
- induced thrombocytopenia. *Thromb Haemost* 2016; **116**: 823–34.
- 484 30 Pishko AM, Cuker A. Diagnosing heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: The need for
- 485 accuracy and speed. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2021; **43**: 96–102.
- 486 31 Brodard J, Alberio L, Angelillo-Scherrer A, Nagler M. Accuracy of heparin-induced
- platelet aggregation test for the diagnosis of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Thrombosis*

- 488 Research 2020; **185**: 27–30.
- Brodard J, Benites V, Stalder Zeerleder D, Nagler M. Accuracy of the functional,
- 490 flow cytometer-based Emo-Test HIT Confirm® for the diagnosis of heparin-induced
- 491 thrombocytopenia. *Thrombosis Research* 2021; **203**: 22–6.
- 492 33 Vayne C, Guéry E, Charuel N, Besombes J, Lambert WC, Rollin J, Gruel Y, Pouplard
- 493 C. Evaluation of functional assays for the diagnosis of heparin induced thrombocytopenia
- 494 using 5B9, a monoclonal IgG that mimics human antibodies. Journal of Thrombosis and
- 495 *Haemostasis* 2020; **18**: 968–75.
- Watson H, Davidson S, Keeling D. Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of
- heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: second edition. Br J Haematol 2012; : n/a-n/a.
- 498 35 Greinacher A, Amiral J, Dummel V, Vissac A, Kiefel V, Mueller-Eckhardt C.
- 499 Laboratory diagnosis of heparin-associated thrombocytopenia and comparison of platelet
- aggregation test, heparin-induced platelet activation test, and platelet factor 4/heparin
- enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Transfusion* 1994; **34**: 381–5.
- Greinacher A, Michels I, Kiefel V, Mueller-Eckhardt C. A rapid and sensitive test for
- diagnosing heparin-associated thrombocytopenia. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 1991; **66**:
- 504 734-6.
- Vayne C, Guéry E-A, Rollin J, Baglo T, Petermann R, Gruel Y. Pathophysiology and
- 506 Diagnosis of Drug-Induced Immune Thrombocytopenia. *JCM* 2020; **9**: 2212.
- Lewis BE, Wallis DE, Berkowitz SD, Matthai WH, Fareed J, Walenga JM,
- Bartholomew J, Sham R, Lerner RG, Zeigler ZR, Rustagi PK, Jang IK, Rifkin SD, Moran J,
- Hursting MJ, Kelton JG. Argatroban Anticoagulant Therapy in Patients With Heparin-
- Induced Thrombocytopenia. Circulation 2001; 103: 1838–43.
- Linkins L-A, Bates SM, Lee AYY, Heddle NM, Wang G, Warkentin TE.
- 512 Combination of 4Ts score and PF4/H-PaGIA for diagnosis and management of heparin-
- induced thrombocytopenia: prospective cohort study. *Blood* 2015; **126**: 597–603.
- Nagler M, Bachmann LM, Ten Cate H, Ten Cate-Hoek A. Diagnostic value of
- 515 immunoassays for heparin-induced thrombocytopenia: a systematic review and meta-
- 516 analysis. *Blood* 2016: **127**: 546–57.
- Marchetti M, Barelli S, Zermatten MG, Monnin-Respen F, Matthey-Guirao E,
- Nicolas N, Gomez F, Goodyer M, Gerschheimer C, Alberio L. Rapid and Accurate Bayesian
- 519 Diagnosis of Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Blood* 2020; : blood.2019002845.

521	FIGURES
522 523	Figure 1: Flow of patients included in the study
524	
525	

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). This table presents demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of 1,393 consecutive patients included in a prospective multicenter cohort study. Patients were stratified into three groups: (1) HIT-negative without heparin/PF4 antibodies, (2) HIT-negative with heparin/PF4 antibodies, and (3) HIT-positive, defined by a positive washed-platelet heparin-induced platelet activation (HIPA) test. Abbreviations: ab, antibody; ICU, intensive care unit; CRP, C-reactive protein; CLIA, chemiluminescent immunoassay capturing antibodies against heparin/PF4 complexes; IQR, inter-quartile range

	HIT negative		HIT positive	
	H/PF4-ab negative	H/PF4-ab positive	HIPA positive	Missing data
n	1201	73	119	
Male sex - n (%)	765 (63.9)	51 (69.9)	71 (59.7)	
Age - median [IQR]	67.25 [58.05, 75.19]	61.31 [54.23, 75.88]	64.65 [55.50, 74.48]	
Setting -n (%)				1 (0.1)
ICU	443 (36.9)	36 (49.3)	40 (33.6)	
Cardiovascular surgery	376 (31.3)	20 (27.4)	47 (39.5)	
Internal medicine	246 (20.5)	11 (15.1)	16 (13.4)	
General surgery	118 (9.8)	5 (6.8)	9 (7.6)	
Major Trauma	4 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	6 (5.0)	
Other	13 (1.1)	1 (1.4)	1 (0.8)	
Sepsis - n (%)	578 (48.1)	42 (57.5)	57 (47.9)	0 (0.0)
CRP [mg/L] - Median [IQR]	89 [35, 176]	64 [20, 150]	87 [44, 146]	86 (6.2)
SARS-CoV-2 infection - n(%)	67 (5.6)	15 (20.8)	7 (5.9)	9 (0.6)
Unfractionated heparin - n (%)	934 (77.8)	61 (83.6)	103 (86.6)	0 (0.0)
4Ts Score - Median [IQR]	3 [2, 4]	4 [3, 5]	5 [4, 6]	0 (0.0)
Platelet nadir [10 ⁹ /L] - Median [IQR]	60 [38, 85]	76 [46, 115]	52 [32, 73]	22 (1.6)
CLIA [U/ml] - Median [IQR]	0.0 [0.00, 0.09]	2.27 [1.48, 4.90]	10.35 [3.76, 24.59]	75 (5.4)

Table 2: Treatment and outcomes of patients with suspected heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). This table summarizes treatment strategies and clinical outcomes in

patients with suspected HIT (n=1,393). Patients were stratified into three groups: (1) HIT-negative without heparin/PF4 antibodies, (2) HIT-negative with heparin/PF4 antibodies, and (3) HIT-positive, defined by a positive washed-platelet heparin-induced platelet activation (HIPA) test. Results are grouped by final diagnosis, which was not available at the time of initial treatment decisions. Abbreviations: ab, antibody; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulins; IQR, inter-quartile range.

HIT positive

	H/PF4-ab negative	H/PF4-ab positive	HIPA positive	Missing data
Treatment				
IVIG - n (%)	30 (2.6)	0 (0.0)	6 (5.1)	34 (2.4)
Alternative anticoagulant started - n (%)	111 (9.3)	65 (89.0)	112 (94.1)	11 (0.7)
Argatroban - n (%)	43 (3.6)	47 (64.4)	83 (69.7)	
Bivalirudin - n (%)	5 (0.4)	5 (6.8)	12 (10.1)	
Danaparoid - n (%)	2 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Fondaparinux - n (%)	40 (3.3)	9 (12.3)	14 (11.8)	
Rivaroxaban - n (%)	15 (1.2)	2 (2.7)	9 (7.6)	
Apixaban - n (%)	6 (0.5)	3 (4.1)	2 (1.7)	
Edoxaban - n (%)	3 (0.2)	1 (1.4)	1 (0.8)	
Dabigatran - n (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Others - n (%)	2 (0.2)	1 (1.4)	4 (3.4)	
Outcomes				
Platelet recovery - n (%)				53 (3.8)
Not recovered	159 (13.8)	6 (8.5)	7 (6.2)	
Partially recovered	303 (26.2)	25 (35.2)	19 (16.8)	
Fully recovered	694 (60.0)	40 (56.3)	87 (77.0)	
Platelets at follow up [10 ⁹ /L] - Median [IQR]	162 [86, 274]	194 [120, 350]	203 [110, 280]	28 (2.0)
Venous thromboembolism - n (%)	66 (5.6)	8 (11.3)	27 (23.1)	30 (2.2)
Arterial thromboembolism - n (%)	55 (4.7)	6 (8.5)	11 (9.4)	30 (2.2)
Major bleeding - n (%)	159 (13.4)	6 (8.2)	15 (12.6)	13 (0.9)
Death - n (%)	260 (21.7)	11 (15.1)	21 (17.6)	1 (0.1)

Table 3: Risk factors for adverse outcomes in patients with confirmed heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). This table presents multivariable regression models identifying risk factors for major adverse outcomes during the clinical course, including incomplete platelet recovery, venous and arterial thromboembolism, major bleeding, and mortality. Regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported (a coefficient of 1 indicates no effect). Treatment with alternative anticoagulants was significantly associated with a lower risk of subsequent arterial thromboembolism.

Characteristic		Not fully red	overed plate	lets		Majo		Venous thromboembolism					Arterial thrombosis							
	N = 102	Exp (Beta)	95%Cl ¹	p- value	N = 106	Exp (Beta)	95%CI ¹	p- value	N = 105	Exp (Beta)	95%Cl ¹	p- value	N = 105	Exp (Beta)	95%Cl ¹	p- value	N = 106	Exp (Beta)	95%CI ¹	p- value
Sex																				
Female	40	_	_	_	43	_	_	_	42	_	_	_	42	_	_	_	43	_	_	_
Male	62	1.09	0.92, 1.31	0.3	63	1.05	0.91, 1.20	0.5	63	1.29	1.08, 1.53	0.006	63	1.02	0.91, 1.15	0.7	63	1.00	0.85, 1.17	>0.9
Age > median	102	1.08	0.91, 1.30	0.4	106	0.97	0.85, 1.12	0.7	105	1.06	0.89, 1.26	0.5	105	0.98	0.87, 1.10	0.7	106	1.14	0.97, 1.34	0.10
Setting																				
Postoperative general surgery and orthopedics	6	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	6	_	_	_
Postoperative cardiac and vascular surgery	40	0.92	0.63, 1.34	0.7	42	0.80	0.59, 1.08	0.14	42	1.05	0.72, 1.52	0.8	42	1.18	0.91, 1.52	0.2	42	0.99	0.70, 1.39	>0.9
Internal medicine	13	1.23	0.80, 1.88	0.3	13	0.85	0.61,1.20	0.4	13	0.86	0.56, 1.32	0.5	13	1.02	0.76, 1.36	>0.9	13	1.00	0.68, 1.47	>0.9
ICU	37	1.18	0.81, 1.73	0.4	38	0.74	0.55,0.99	0.049	37	1.14	0.78, 1.66	0.5	37	1.03	0.80, 1.33	0.8	38	0.98	0.69, 1.38	0.9
Major Trauma	6	0.84	0.51, 1.38	0.5	6	0.65	0.44, 0.97	0.037	6	0.75	0.45, 1.23	0.3	6	0.92	0.65, 1.30	0.6	6	0.88	0.56, 1.38	0.6
Other					1	1.21	0.48, 3.09	0.7	1	1.08	0.57, 2.04	0.8	1	1.15	0.49, 2.71	0.7	1	1.15	0.49, 2.71	0.7
Sepsis																				
No	49	_	_	_	52	_	_	_	51	_	_	_	51	_	_	_	52	_	_	_
Yes	53	1.05	0.88, 1.26	0.6	54	1.15	1.01, 1.32	0.043	54	1.02	0.86, 1.22	8.0	54	1.01	0.89, 1.13	>0.9	54	1.14	0.97, 1.33	0.11
Chemotherapy																				
No	98	_	_	_	102	_	_	_	101	_	_	_	101	_	_	_	102	_	_	_
Yes	4	0.65	0.41, 1.01	0.060	4	0.91	0.64, 1.30	0.6	4	0.77	0.49, 1.21	0.3	4	0.99	0.73, 1.34	>0.9	4	1.05	0.70, 1.57	0.8
Hb > 12 g/L	102	0.94	0.64, 1.38	0.7	106	0.92	0.67, 1.25	0.6	105	0.88	0.60, 1.30	0.5	105	0.92	0.70, 1.20	0.5	106	1.05	0.74, 1.50	8.0
WBC > 10 G/L	102	1.12	0.93, 1.35	0.2	106	1.05	0.91, 1.21	0.5	105	1.01	0.84, 1.22	0.9	105	1.02	0.90, 1.16	0.7	106	1.11	0.94, 1.30	0.2
Platelet nadir > 50 G/L	102	1.02	0.86, 1.21	8.0	106	1.01	0.89, 1.16	0.8	105	0.97	0.82, 1.14	0.7	105	0.99	0.89, 1.11	0.9	106	0.87	0.75, 1.01	0.068
AcuStar HIT per U/ml	102	1.00	1.00, 1.00	0.2	106	1.00	1.00, 1.00	>0.9	105	1.00	1.00, 1.00	0.3	105	1.00	1.00, 1.00	0.7	106	1.00	1.00, 1.00	0.6
Anticoagulation therapy																				

No alternative anticoagulant	6	_	_	_	7	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	6	_	_	_	7	_	_	_
DOAC only	3	1.15	0.63, 2.10	0.6	4	0.79	0.52, 1.21	0.3	4	0.96	0.56, 1.65	0.9	4	0.59	0.41, 0.86	0.007	4	0.63	0.39, 1.01	0.058
Fondaparinux only	8	0.83	0.52, 1.33	0.4	10	0.63	0.45, 0.89	0.011	10	1.04	0.66, 1.64	0.9	10	0.62	0.45, 0.84	0.003	10	0.73	0.49, 1.09	0.13
Bivalirudin	9	0.81	0.51, 1.29	0.4	9	0.70	0.49, 1.00	0.054	9	1.31	0.82, 2.08	0.3	9	0.60	0.44, 0.83	0.002	9	0.76	0.51, 1.15	0.2
Argatroban	76	0.79	0.55, 1.15	0.2	76	0.71	0.54, 0.93	0.017	76	1.38	0.96, 2.00	0.085	76	0.67	0.52, 0.86	0.002	76	0.80	0.58, 1.09	0.2

Table 4: Risk factors for adverse outcomes in HIT-negative patients. This table presents multivariable regression models assessing factors associated with adverse outcomes during the clinical course in patients with suspected HIT but negative functional testing. Regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported (a coefficient of 1 indicates no effect).

		Not fully re	covered platel	ets	Major bleeding				Venous thromboembolism					Arterial	thrombosis		Death			
Characteristic	N = 1144	Exp (Beta)	95%CI ¹	p-value	N = 1172	Exp (Beta)	95%Cl ¹	p-value	N = 1150	Exp (Beta)	95%Cl ¹	p- value	N = 1150	Exp (Beta)	95%CI ¹	p-value	N = 1175	Exp (Beta)	95%Cl ¹	p-value
Sex																				
Female	403	_	_	_	412	_	_	_	403	_	_	_	403	_	_	_	412	_	_	_
Male	741	1.00	0.94, 1.06	>0.9	760	1.05	1.01, 1.10	0.015	747	1.01	0.98, 1.04	0.5	747	0.99	0.97, 1.02	0.5	763	0.98	0.93, 1.03	0.4
Age > median	1144	1.05	0.99,1.11	0.11	1172	0.96	0.92, 1.00	0.044	1150	0.97	0.95, 1.00	0.058	1150	1.00	0.97, 1.02	0.8	1175	1.05	1.00, 1.10	0.034
Setting																				
Postoperative general surgery and orthopedics	108	_	_	_	110	_	_	_	105	_	_	_	105	_	_	_	110	_	_	_
Postoperative cardiac and vascular surgery	377	1.05	0.94, 1.17	0.4	383	1.03	0.96, 1.11	0.4	374	0.93	0.88, 0.98	0.012	374	1.03	0.98, 1.08	0.3	383	0.97	0.89, 1.06	0.5
Internal medicine	219	1.25	1.12, 1.39	<0.001	227	0.98	0.91, 1.06	0.7	226	0.94	0.89, 1.00	0.037	226	1.01	0.96, 1.06	0.8	228	0.98	0.90, 1.08	0.7
ICU	428	1.19	1.07, 1.31	0.001	438	1.04	0.97, 1.12	0.3	431	0.97	0.92, 1.02	0.3	431	1.02	0.97, 1.07	0.4	440	1.15	1.05, 1.25	0.001
Major Trauma	4	0.84	0.52, 1.36	0.5	4	1.12	0.80, 1.58	0.5	4	0.90	0.71, 1.15	0.4	4	0.97	0.78, 1.21	0.8	4	0.87	0.59, 1.29	0.5
Other	8	1.19	0.85, 1.68	0.3	10	0.93	0.74, 1.16	0.5	10	0.91	0.78, 1.07	0.3	10	0.98	0.85, 1.13	0.8	10	0.90	0.70, 1.17	0.4
Sepsis																				
No	582	_	_	_	600	_	_	_	592	_	_	_	592	_	_	_	602	_	_	_
Yes	562	1.01	0.95, 1.07	0.8	572	1.02	0.97, 1.06	0.5	558	1.03	1.00, 1.06	0.080	558	1.01	0.98, 1.03	0.7	573	1.04	0.99, 1.10	0.083
Chemotherapy																				
No	1037	_	_	_	1060	_	_	_	1038	_	_	_	1036	_	_	_	1062	_	_	_
Yes	107	1.12	1.01, 1.24	0.026	112	0.98	0.91, 1.05	0.5	112	0.97	0.92, 1.02	0.2	112	0.98	0.93, 1.02	0.3	113	1.14	1.05, 1.23	0.001
Hb > 12 g/L	1144	1.07	0.97, 1.17	0.2	1172	0.92	0.86, 0.98	0.009	1150	0.97	0.93, 1.01	0.2	1150	0.98	0.94, 1.02	0.2	1175	0.91	0.84, 0.98	0.009
WBC > 10 G/L	1144	0.94	0.88, 0.99	0.024	1172	1.09	1.05, 1.14	<0.001	1150	1.03	1.00, 1.06	0.053	1150	1.05	1.03, 1.08	<0.001	1175	1.13	1.08, 1.18	<0.001
Platelet nadir > 50 G/L	1144	1.17	1.10, 1.24	<0.001	1172	0.97	0.93, 1.01	0.11	1150	1.00	0.97, 1.03	8.0	1150	0.98	0.96, 1.01	0.2	1175	0.94	0.90, 0.99	0.016
AcuStar HIT																				
Negative	1076	_	_	_	1103	_	_	_	1083	_	_	_	1083	_	_	_	1106	_	_	
Positive	68	1.02	0.87, 1.18	0.8	69	0.97	0.87, 1.07	0.5	67	0.98	0.91, 1.06	0.7	67	1.01	0.94, 1.08	0.9	69	0.93	0.83, 1.06	0.3
Anticoagulation therapy																				
No alternative anticoagulant	988	_	_	_	1012	_	_	_	994	_	_	_	994	_	_	_	1015	_	_	_

DOAC only	22	1.07	0.87, 1.32	0.5	22	0.91	0.78, 1.05	0.2	22	1.04	0.94, 1.15	0.5	22	1.02	0.93, 1.12	0.7	22	0.93	0.79, 1.10	0.4
Fondaparinux only	43	0.88	0.76, 1.02	0.10	44	0.96	0.86, 1.06	0.4	43	0.96	0.89, 1.04	0.3	43	0.99	0.93, 1.06	0.8	44	0.88	0.78, 0.99	0.036
Bivalirudin	8	0.97	0.69, 1.36	0.9	8	1.00	0.78, 1.27	>0.9	8	1.10	0.93, 1.31	0.3	8	0.95	0.81, 1.11	0.5	8	1.14	0.51, 1.15	0.4
Argatroban	83	1.01	0.88, 1.15	>0.9	86	0.97	0.88, 1.06	0.5	83	1.11	1.03, 1.18	0.003	83	1.06	1.00, 1.13	0.046	86	1.01	0.91, 1.13	0.8

Figure 1

Patients with suspected HIT

- Anti-FF4:hepatin antibody test requested OR clinical assessment tool applied OR hematology consultancy services requested for HIT evaluation
- Age > 18 years
- Informed consent provided

Study cohort (n = 1448)

Included from:

- Swiss study centers (he 1894)
- Garnar south centers (n = 49)
- United States sough centers (n=0)

Collected data at suspicion

Routine laboratory test results:

Blood count, D-dimers, fibrinogen concentation, prothrombin time (INR), CRP, serum albumin

Detailed clinical data

Demographics, setting, signs and symptoms, comorbidities, timing and pattern of thrombocytopenia, thromboembolic events, other causes of thrombocytopenia

Serum samples:

Anti-PF4/Heparin immunoassays (Acustar HIT IgG, Lifecodes PF4 IgG, Diamed ID-H/PF4)

Collected data at discharge

Treatment information
Anticoagulation teatment, detailed information about alternative anticoagulant, reexposure to UFH, administration of intravenous normal immunoglobulin therapy

Outcomes

Platelet recovery, recurrent venous and arterial thrombosis, minor and major szoz ssióny. A uo use serving.

Patients excluded

- Insufficient sample material (n =16)
 - Insufficient clinical data (n = 39)

Patients included (n = 1393)